

## Townsend, Erle

---

**From:** jshbsf@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, February 5, 2024 11:13 AM  
**To:** DEP Rule Comments  
**Subject:** Comment on Chapter 127-A: Advanced Clean Cars II Program (Reposting)

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, I am writing in opposition to Maine following the California emissions for cars and passenger trucks. The infrastructure in this state is not equipped to handle the influx of ZEV. If adopted, what happens to transportation when this state (and many others) electricity usage increases to a point where it becomes a failure for all. Rolling blackouts during peak usage will become more prevalent causing unforeseen problems. Reducing/eliminating gasoline vehicle emissions does not mean the state has eliminated energy emissions. Sure the state's energy suppliers can source renewable energy but there are consequences with each source. How long will solar panes last, where do these get recycled? Where do windmills blades go when they are no longer usable? What resources are used to make these and how long are they going to be in operation? Can we reduce our dependency on foreign petroleum products, sure but forcing ZEV on Mainers is not a clean sweep win.

Let alone that Mainers deserve a choice in what kind of vehicle they want to drive. Follow the federal guidelines because Maine is NOT California.

The horrendous acts of environmental damage from mining lithium and cobalt for ZEV batteries is simply pushing the negative consequences to another part of the world.

Sincerely  
Jason Harris  
Newfield

Sent from my iPhone